

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/809,440	03/15/2001	Gareth Hougham		4926
7:	590 05/05/2003			
Thomas A. Beck			EXAMINER	
26 Rockledge Lane New Milford, CT 06776			FONTAINE, MONICA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2003	;

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

÷ - 55a		HG-			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advis ry Action	09/809,440	HOUGHAM, GARETH			
, icono i y vicencia	Examiner	Art Unit			
,	Monica A Fontaine	1732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a					
THE REPLY FILED 15 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this : (1) a timely filed amendme peal (with appeal fee); or (3)	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in			
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of t no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exp ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the per fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date	this Advisory Action, or (2) the date or later than SIX MONTHS from the WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH The date on which the petition und iod of extension and the correspond e of the shortened statutory period	the mailing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP ther 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension around the fee. The appropriate extension for reply originally set in the final Office action; or			
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	37 CFR 1.704(b).	er the maining date of the infarrejection, even in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entere	d because:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require fu	ırther consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	te below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s): <u>35 USC 112 (1st): C</u>	<u>Claim 7</u> .			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitted	d in a separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		en considered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered I raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SC	DLELY to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims	• • • —	•			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .	·				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a) □ approved or b) □	disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ment(s)(PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)			
10.⊠ Other:	$\mathcal{O}(.)$	2			
ual s/2/03	RICHARD CRIS SUPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENT	T EXAMINER			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitations of a specific time period and temperature range needed for dimensional integrity raise new issues. Furthermore, the limitation of "one week and beyond" is not supported by the specification . The applicant's arguments are directed to subject matter which raises new issues and would require further consideration.

Continuation of 10. Other: The amendment to the specification would be entered if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment. Also, the Drawings submitted in Paper No. 10 contain the label "Prior Art" for Figures 1 and 2, and they are approved by the examiner.